```
BEFORE THE
1
              ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2
   IN THE MATTER OF:
   TDS METROCOM, LLC,
4
                                     No. 03 - 0553
       VS.
 5
   ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY)
 6
   Complaint concerning imposition)
7 of unreasonable and
   anti-competitive termination
                                   )
 8 charges by Illinois Bell
                                   )
   Telephone Company.
                                   )
9
                      Chicago, Illinois
10
                      April 29, 2004
11
      Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.
12 BEFORE:
13
       MR. TERRENCE HILLIARD, Administrative Law Judge
14 APPEARANCES:
15
       MR. OWEN E. MacBRIDE
       6600 Sears Tower
       Chicago, Illinois 60606
16
            appearing for TDS Metrocom, LLC;
17
       MS. LOUISE A. SUNDERLAND
       225 West Randolph Street
18
       Chicago, Illinois
19
            appearing for Illinois Bell Telephone;
20
21
22
```

```
1 APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
       MR. MICHAEL LARNON and
       MS. BRANDY BROWN
       160 North LaSalle Street
       Suite C-800
 4
       Chicago, Illinois 60601
            appearing for Staff
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
   Rocio Garcia, CSR
22 License No. 084-004387
```

1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
2	Re- Re- By	
3	Witnesses: Direct Cross direct cross Examin	<u>er</u>
4	NONE	
5	<u>E X H I B I T S</u>	
6	Number For Identification In Evid	lence
7	Exhibit Nos. 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, public and proprietary	27
9	Exhibit Nos. 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, public and proprietary	28
10	Exhibit Nos. 1.0 BG 1 through 8, public and proprietary	31
11 12	SBC No. 2.0, public and proprietary	31
13	Schedules ASF 1, ASF 2, ASF 4, proprietary	31
14	Exhibit No. 3.0 with attachments RF 1, RF 2, proprietary	32
15 16	Exhibit No. 4	32
17	Exhibit No. 1.1 with attachments 1-4, public	32
18	Exhibit No. 2.1 with attachment AFR 1-3, proprietary	32
19 20	Exhibit No. 3.1 with attachment RF R1, proprietary	33
21	Late-filed Exhibit No. 5.0	33
22	Staff Exhibit Nos 1 0. 2 0. 3 0	3.4

- 1 JUDGE HILLIARD: On behalf of the Illinois
- 2 Commerce Commission, I call Docket 03-0553, TDS
- 3 Metrocom, LLC versus Illinois Bell Telephone
- 4 Company, complaint concerning the imposition of
- 5 unreasonable and anti-competitive termination
- 6 charges by Illinois Bell Telephone Company.
- 7 Illinois Bell a/k/a SBC Illinois has
- 8 filed a motion to strike and it concerns question
- 9 and answer 12 of TDS Metrocom Exhibit 1.5.
- 10 TDS Metrocom's filed a response to the
- 11 motion to strike and in that response they suggest
- 12 that one way to resolve this would be to allow SBC
- 13 to file supplemental and prepared testimony
- 14 specifically in response to question and answer 12
- 15 and I think that's probably a good way to handle it
- 16 so. . .
- 17 MS. SUNDERLAND: All right. I mean, obviously,
- 18 we are -- I mean, we litigated this case based on
- 19 the complaint that they filed and we think that the
- 20 case should be resolved based on the complaint that
- 21 they filed but if that's your ruling then --
- 22 JUDGE HILLIARD: That's my ruling.

- 1 MS. SUNDERLAND: -- that's your ruling.
- 2 JUDGE HILLIARD: So how much time are you going
- 3 to need to do that?
- 4 MS. SUNDERLAND: How about if I have -- I'd -- I
- 5 don't have a witness or anything so -- because --
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: Subject.
- 7 MS. SUNDERLAND: -- this is outside the --
- 8 JUDGE HILLIARD: Subject to confirmation --
- 9 MS. SUNDERLAND: Yeah, by --
- 10 JUDGE HILLIARD: -- for the witness or whatever.
- 11 MS. SUNDERLAND: All I'm saying is this is
- 12 outside the expertise of the witnesses that I've
- 13 used so far. So I -- it's going to take me a little
- 14 time to find the right person, but what if we said
- 15 two weeks?
- 16 JUDGE HILLIARD: Fine.
- So unless you run into a problem, I'd
- 18 like you to file that testimony, say, by the close
- 19 of business on the 14th of May, which is a Friday.
- 20 MS. SUNDERLAND: Okay.
- 21 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. Mr. MacBride, do you want
- 22 to go first here?

- 1 MR. MacBRIDE: Well, I think as the parties had
- 2 previously communicated Staff and SBC and TDS agreed
- 3 that they don't need to cross each other's witnesses
- 4 and they're all agreeable to putting in our
- 5 respective exhibits by agreement --
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: That's fine.
- 7 MR. MacBRIDE: -- without affidavit. So I think
- 8 all parties preference is subject -- I have a
- 9 feeling he was -- I don't think any of us have
- 10 brought multiple sets of exhibits today. We were
- 11 hoping to just file them on E-dock- -- identify
- 12 them. Let's -- and iden- -- file them on E-docket
- 13 after --
- 14 JUDGE HILLIARD: I think it's a good idea.
- MR. MacBRIDE: All right. So I will identify the
- 16 TDS exhibits.
- 17 First exhibit is TDS Metrocom Exhibit
- 18 1.0, direct testimony of Matthew Lock and that has
- 19 public and proprietary versions.
- 20 Let me state, when we originally filed
- 21 our testimony by agreement we had the entire
- 22 testimony treated as proprietary. Subsequently

- 1 conferring with counsel, we've identified the
- 2 specific pieces that are considered to be
- 3 confidential by SBC. So we now have public and
- 4 proprietary versions to file with this specific
- 5 proprietary information identified and redacted.
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. But they're the same
- 7 number on it, 1.0?
- 8 MR. MacBRIDE: Yes.
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay.
- 10 MR. MacBRIDE: With his direct testimony Mr. Lock
- 11 also sponsors TDS Metrocom Exhibit 1.1. That has
- 12 both a public and proprietary versions.
- TDS Metrocom 1.2 has public and
- 14 proprietary versions.
- TDS Metrocom 1.3, which is entirely
- 16 public.
- TDS Metrocom 1.4, which is entirely
- 18 public.
- 19 That concludes Mr. Lock's direct
- 20 testimony and exhibits.
- 21 Mr. Lock also filed -- or circulated
- 22 rebuttal testimony identified as TDS Metrocom

- 1 Exhibit 1.5 and that is entirely public.
- 2 And let me note that question and answer
- 3 15 of that testimony has been revised from the
- 4 version that we circulated among the parties. The
- 5 reason we revised is that it was responding to
- 6 some -- a portion of SBC's witness Gillespie's
- 7 testimony. Mr. Gillespie revised a portion of his
- 8 testimony that would be responded to and so
- 9 Mr. Lock's answer 15 has been revised to remove
- 10 references to statements that were needed from Mr.
- 11 Gillespie's testimony.
- 12 JUDGE HILLIARD: Have you sent me a copy of the
- 13 revised one?
- MR. MacBRIDE: No, but I was going to when I
- 15 E-docket all this.
- I also -- if you want a hard copy set,
- 17 I'll give you a hard copy set.
- 18 JUDGE HILLIARD: Well, that's probably a good
- 19 idea.
- 20 MR. MacBRIDE: In addition, TDS is offering the
- 21 direct testimony of Jennifer Stearns, S- t- e- a- r-
- 22 n-s, marked as TDS Metrocom Exhibit 2.0 and that

- 1 has both proprietary and public versions.
- 2 And, finally, we have two exhibits which
- 3 consist of SBC responses to certain TDS Metrocom
- 4 data requests. This was part of the agreement to
- 5 avoid cross-examination. I have one exhibit
- 6 identified as TDS Metrocom Exhibit 3.0 which has the
- 7 response of SBC to certain data requests and this
- 8 exhibit is entirely public.
- 9 And then TDS Metrocom Exhibit 4.0
- 10 contains SBC's response to the TDS Metrocom data
- 11 requests 3.13, 3.14, 3.26, and 3.27.
- 12 Exhibit 4.0 has public and proprietary
- 13 versions. Basically what I did is create two
- 14 separate exhibits, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4 so that 4
- 15 would have nonconfidential responses and -- actually
- 16 3 would have nonconfidential responses and 4 would
- 17 have confidential responses.
- 18 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay.
- MR. MacBRIDE: So those are kept separate.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: So 3 is only public?
- 21 MR. MacBRIDE: Yes.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: All right. So that completes

- 1 TDS's evidence and we would move for admission of
- 2 all of those exhibits.
- 3 JUDGE HILLIARD: And I presume -- well, is there
- 4 an objection to the admission of these exhibits?
- 5 MR. LARNON: No objection from Staff.
- 6 MS. SUNDERLAND: No objection except -- you know,
- 7 I would renew my motion to strike -- I understand
- 8 it's been ruled on.
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. Subject to your motion,
- 10 which has already been ruled on so it's not quite
- 11 subject to -- Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4,
- 12 both the public and proprietary versions where that
- 13 applies.
- Exhibit 1.5, Exhibit 2.0, Exhibit 3.0 and
- 15 Exhibit 4.0, once again, both public and proprietary
- 16 versions are admitted into the record.
- 17 (Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 1.0,
- 18 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 public
- and proprietary versions
- 20 were admitted into evidence.)
- 21

22

- 1 (Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 1.5
- 2 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 public and
- 3 proprietary versions were
- 4 admitted into evidence.)
- 5 MS. SUNDERLAND: On behalf --
- 6 MR. MacBRIDE: Hang on.
- 7 (Phone ringing.)
- 8 (Off the record.)
- 9 MR. MacBRIDE: Excuse me, Judge, did you admit
- 10 Exhibits 3 and 4?
- 11 JUDGE HILLIARD: Yes, I did.
- 12 MR. MacBRIDE: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. SUNDERLAND: All right. SBC Illinois is
- 14 moving to admit SBC Illinois Exhibit 1.0 which has
- 15 both the public and a proprietary version.
- 16 Attached to schedule -- to Exhibit 1.0
- 17 are Schedules BG 1 through 8 all of which are
- 18 public.
- 19 JUDGE HILLIARD: BG?
- 20 MS. SUNDERLAND: BG is the direct testimony of
- 21 Brian Gillespie.
- SBC Illinois Exhibit 2.0, the direct

- 1 testimony of Allen Franco of which there's both a
- 2 public and proprietary version. Attached to
- 3 Exhibit 2.0 are -- is schedule ASF 1 which is public
- 4 and ASF 2, 3 and 4 which are proprietary.
- 5 SBC Illinois Exhibit 3.0, direct
- 6 testimony of Ronald Flitsch, there's both a public
- 7 and proprietary version.
- 8 JUDGE HILLIARD: How do you spell that.
- 9 MS. SUNDERLAND: F-l-i-t-s-c-h.
- 10 And attached to Exhibit 3.0 are schedules
- 11 RF 1 and RF 2, both of which are proprietary.
- 12 SBC Illinois Exhibit 4.0, the direct
- 13 testimony of James Longua, L- o- n- g- u- a. That
- 14 is -- there's only a public version of that
- 15 testimony.
- SBC Illinois Exhibit 1.1, the rebuttal
- 17 testimony of Brian Gillespie and to that are
- 18 attached Schedules BG R1, R2, R3 and R4. Those are
- 19 all public.
- 20 SBC Illinois Exhibit 2.1, the rebuttal
- 21 testimony of Allen Franco. To that are attached
- 22 Schedules AFR 1, AFR 2, AFR 3. Those are all

- 1 public.
- 2 And SBC Exhibit 3.1, the rebuttal
- 3 testimony of Ronald Flitsch. And to that are
- 4 attached schedules -- just sche- -- excuse me,
- 5 Schedule RF R1 which is proprietary.
- 6 JUDGE HILLIARD: The BG 1, 2, 3 and 4, are they
- 7 public or proprietary?
- 8 MS. SUNDERLAND: Public.
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Is that it?
- 10 MS. SUNDERLAND: Yup.
- 11 JUDGE HILLIARD: Are there any objections?
- 12 MR. MacBRIDE: No, sir.
- 13 MR. LARNON: Nothing from Staff.
- 14 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. Then --
- MS. SUNDERLAND: I guess we would need to make
- 16 provision for a late-filed exhibit from me which I
- 17 guess I would identify as SBC Illinois Exhibit 5.0.
- 18 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. Is there any objection to
- 19 that -- that unknown exhibit or how do you want to
- 20 handle it or you want to wait?
- 21 MR. MacBRIDE: I guess it should be reserved on
- 22 it.

- 1 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. Fine.
- 2 All right. Exhibits -- Exhibit 1.0, BG 1
- 3 through 8, exhibit has both public and proprietary
- 4 will be admitted.
- 5 (Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 1.0,
- 6 BG 1 though 8, public and
- 7 proprietary were admitted into
- 8 evidence.)
- 9 JUDGE HILLIARD: Franco 2.0 -- SBC 2.0 public --
- 10 or proprietary as well as Schedule AS 1 -- ASF 1
- 11 which is public, ASF 2 and 4 which are both -- are
- 12 they public and proprietary?
- MS. SUNDERLAND: Just -- well, it's just
- 14 proprietary.
- 15 JUDGE HILLIARD: Just proprietary will be
- 16 admitted.
- 17 (Whereupon, SBC Exhibit No. 2.0
- 18 public and proprietary
- were admitted into evidence.)
- 20 (Whereupon, Schedules ASF 1,
- 21 ASF 2, ASF 4, proprietary
- 22 were admitted into evidence.)

```
1
      JUDGE HILLIARD: Exhibit 3.0 with attachments
   RF 1, RF 2 which are proprietary will be admitted.
3
                     (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 3.0 with
 4
                     attachments RF 1 and RF 2,
5
                     proprietary were
 6
                      admitted into evidence.)
      JUDGE HILLIARD: Exhibit 4.0 will be admitted.
7
8
                     (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 4
9
                      was admitted into evidence.)
      JUDGE HILLIARD: Exhibit 1.1 with attachments 1,
10
   2, 3 and 4, which are public, will be admitted.
11
12
                     (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 1.1 with
13
                      attachments 1 through 4, public
                      were admitted into evidence.)
14
15
      JUDGE HILLIARD: Exhibit 2.1 of AF -- attachments
   AFR 1, 2, and 3 which are public, will be admitted.
17
                     (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 2.1 with
18
                      attachment AFR 1 through 3, public
19
                     were admitted into evidence.)
      JUDGE HILLIARD: And Exhibit 3.1 with attachment
20
21 RF R1 which is proprietary will also be admitted.
```

22

- 1
- 2 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 3.1 with
- 3 attachment RF R1, proprietary
- were admitted into evidence.)
- 5 JUDGE HILLIARD: Exhibit 5.0 will be admitted
- 6 subject to -- as a late-filed exhibit, subject to
- 7 any objections filed by counsel.
- 8 (Whereupon, Late-filed
- 9 Exhibit No. 5.0 was
- 10 admitted into evidence.)
- 11 JUDGE HILLIARD: How much time do you need after
- 12 the filing to determine whether you're going to make
- 13 an objection?
- MR. MacBRIDE: The next Wednesday.
- 15 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. So any objections to
- 16 Exhibit 5.0 should be filed --
- MR. MacBRIDE: May 19th?
- 18 JUDGE HILLIARD: Yes, sir.
- -- should be filed by the close of
- 20 business on May 19th.
- Staff, do you have exhibits?
- MR. LARNON: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. Staff

- 1 has three exhibits it would like to move into
- 2 evidence.
- 3 First ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 which is the
- 4 direct testimony of Mr. Olusanjo Omoni. And the
- 5 second piece would be Staff Exhibit 2.0 which is the
- 6 direct testimony of Mr. Robert Coton (phonetic).
- 7 And third would be Staff Exhibit 3.0 which consists
- 8 of some responses to Staff data request by TDS
- 9 Metrocom.
- 10 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. These are all public, I
- 11 presume?
- 12 MR. LARNON: All public, your Honor.
- 13 JUDGE HILLIARD: Objections?
- Exhibits -- Staff Exhibits 1.0, 2.0 and
- 15 3.0 will be admitted into the record.
- 16 MR. LARNON: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 (Whereupon, Staff Exhibit
- Nos. 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 were
- 19 admitted into evidence.)
- 20 JUDGE HILLIARD: Is there anything further.
- 21 MR. LARNON: Nothing, your Honor.
- JUDGE HILLIARD: I guess we're all done now.

```
I only need a proprietary version, just
1
 2 one.
                    (Whereupon, a discussion
3
                     was had off the record.)
 4
   JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. We're done. Thank you.
 5
 6
                     (Whereupon, the above-entitled
7
                     matter was continued sine die.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```